# Introduction to Probabilistic Graphical Models

Christoph Lampert

IST Austria (Institute of Science and Technology Austria)



Institute of Science and Technology

# Schedule

Refresher of ProbabilitiesIntroduction to Probabilistic Graphical ModelsProbabilistic InferenceLearning Conditional Random FieldsMAP Prediction / Energy MinimizationLearning Structured Support Vector Machines

Links to slide download: http://pub.ist.ac.at/~chl/courses/PGM\_W16/

Password for ZIP files (if any): pgm2016

Email for questions, suggestions or typos that you found: chl@ist.ac.at



Thanks to ...

Overview 000000000000 Probability Theory



Sebastian Nowozin Peter Gehler Andreas Geiger Björn Andres Raquel Urtasun

and David Barber, author of textbook "Bayesian Reasoning and Machine Learning" http://web4.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/D.Barber/pmwiki/pmwiki.php?n=Brml.HomePage

for material and slides.

Probability Theory

# Textbooks on Graphical Models



- David Barber, Bayesian Reasoning and Machine Learning, Cambridge University Press, 2011, ISBN-13: 978-0521518147
- Available online for free: http://tinyurl.com/3flppuo

#### For the curious ones...



- Bishop, Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning, Springer New York, 2006, ISBN-13: 978-0387310732
- Koller, Friedman, Probabilistic Graphical Models: Principles and Techniques, The MIT Press, 2009, ISBN-13: 978-0262013192
- MacKay, Information Theory, Inference and Learning Algorithms, Cambridge University Press, 2003, ISBN-13: 978-0521642989

# Older tutorial...

Overview 0000000000000



Parts published in

- Sebastian Nowozin, Chrsitoph H. Lampert, "Structured Learning and Prediction in Computer Vision", Foundations and Trends in Computer Graphics and Vision, now publisher, http://www.nowpublishers.com/
- available as PDF on my homepage

# Introduction

# Success Stories of Machine Learning

Overview



Robotics



Time Series Prediction





The Black Swan: Second Edition: The Impact of the Highly Improbable: With a

#### Social Networks



Language Processing







Natural Sciences

All of these require dealing with Structured Data

#### 

#### This course is about modeling structured data...

Jemand musste Josef K. verleumdet haben, denn ohne dass er etwas Böses getan hätte, wurde er eines Morgens verhaftet. »Wie ein Hund! « sagte er, es war, als sollte die Scham ihn überleben. Als Gregor Samsa eines Morgens aus unruhigen Träumen erwachte, fand er sich in seinem Bett zu einem ungeheueren Ungeziefer verwandelt. Und es war ihnen wie eine Bestätigung ihrer neuen Träume und guten Absichten, als am Ziele ihrer Fahrt die Tochter als erste sich erhob und ihren jungen Körper dehnte. »Es ist ein eigentümlicher Apparat«, sagte der Offizier zu dem Forschungsreisenden und überblickte mit einem gewissermaßen



Text



Documents/HyperText

Molecules / Chemical Structures



Images

# ... and about predicting structured data:

- ► Natural Language Processing:
  - Automatic Translation (output: sentences)
  - Sentence Parsing (output: parse trees)
- Bioinformatics:
  - Secondary Structure Prediction (output: bipartite graphs)
  - Enzyme Function Prediction (output: path in a tree)

Overview

- Speech Processing:
  - Automatic Transcription (output: sentences)
  - Text-to-Speech (output: audio signal)
- Robotics:
  - Planning (output: sequence of actions)
- Computer Vision:
  - Human Pose Estimation (output: locations of body parts)
  - Image Segmentation (output: segmentation mask)

# Example: Human Pose Estimation



• Given an image, where is a person and how is it articulated?

$$f:\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$$

• Image x, but what is human pose  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$  precisely?

# Human Pose $\mathcal{Y}$

 Probability Theory





- ▶ Body Part:  $y_{head} = (u, v, \theta)$  where (u, v) center,  $\theta$  rotation
  - $(u, v) \in \{1, \ldots, M\} \times \{1, \ldots, N\}, \theta \in \{0, 45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, \ldots\}$

# Human Pose $\mathcal{Y}$

 Probability Theory





- ▶ Body Part:  $y_{head} = (u, v, \theta)$  where (u, v) center,  $\theta$  rotation
  - $(u, v) \in \{1, \ldots, M\} \times \{1, \ldots, N\}, \theta \in \{0, 45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, \ldots\}$

# Human Pose $\mathcal{Y}$

 Probability Theory





- ▶ Body Part:  $y_{head} = (u, v, \theta)$  where (u, v) center,  $\theta$  rotation
  - $(u, v) \in \{1, \ldots, M\} \times \{1, \ldots, N\}, \theta \in \{0, 45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, \ldots\}$

# Human Pose $\mathcal{Y}$

 Probability Theory





- ▶ Body Part:  $y_{head} = (u, v, \theta)$  where (u, v) center,  $\theta$  rotation
  - $(u, v) \in \{1, \ldots, M\} \times \{1, \ldots, N\}, \theta \in \{0, 45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, \ldots\}$

# Human Pose $\mathcal Y$

 Probability Theory





- ▶ Body Part:  $y_{head} = (u, v, \theta)$  where (u, v) center,  $\theta$  rotation
  - $(u, v) \in \{1, \ldots, M\} \times \{1, \ldots, N\}, \theta \in \{0, 45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, \ldots\}$

# Human Pose $\mathcal{Y}$

 Probability Theory





- ▶ Body Part:  $y_{head} = (u, v, \theta)$  where (u, v) center,  $\theta$  rotation
  - $(u, v) \in \{1, \ldots, M\} \times \{1, \ldots, N\}, \theta \in \{0, 45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, \ldots\}$

# Human Pose $\mathcal{Y}$

 Probability Theory





Example y<sub>head</sub>

- ▶ Body Part:  $y_{head} = (u, v, \theta)$  where (u, v) center,  $\theta$  rotation
  - $(u, v) \in \{1, \ldots, M\} \times \{1, \ldots, N\}, \theta \in \{0, 45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, \ldots\}$

▶ Entire Body:  $y = (y_{head}, y_{torso}, y_{left-lower-arm}, \ldots) \in \mathcal{Y}$ 

# Human Pose ${\mathcal Y}$

Overview 0000000000000 Probability Theory



▶ Idea: Have a head classifier (CNN, SVM, ...)  $\psi(y_{head}, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ 

# Human Pose ${\mathcal Y}$

Probability Theory



▶ Idea: Have a head classifier (CNN, SVM, ...)  $\psi(y_{head}, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ 

Overview

Evaluate everywhere and record score

# Human Pose ${\mathcal Y}$

Probability Theory



▶ Idea: Have a head classifier (CNN, SVM, ...)  $\psi(y_{head}, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ 

Overview

0000000000000

- Evaluate everywhere and record score
- Repeat for all body parts

Probability Theory

# Human Pose Estimation



Image  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ 

► Compute

$$y^* = (y^*_{head}, y^*_{torso}, \cdots) = \underset{y_{head}, y_{torso}, \cdots}{\operatorname{argmax}} \psi(y_{head}, x)\psi(y_{torso}, x)\cdots$$

Probability Theory

# Human Pose Estimation



Image  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ 

► Compute

$$y^{*} = (y^{*}_{head}, y^{*}_{torso}, \cdots) = \underset{\substack{y_{head}, y_{torso}, \cdots \\ y_{head}, y_{torso}, \cdots}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \psi(y_{head}, x), \psi(y_{torso}, x), \cdots)$$
$$= (\underset{\substack{y_{head}}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \psi(y_{head}, x), \underset{\substack{y_{torso}}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \psi(y_{torso}, x), \cdots)$$

#### Human Pose Estimation



Image  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ 



Prediction  $y^* \in \mathcal{Y}$ 

► Compute

$$y^{*} = (y^{*}_{head}, y^{*}_{torso}, \cdots) = \underset{y_{head}, y_{torso}, \cdots}{\operatorname{argmax}} \psi(y_{head}, x)\psi(y_{torso}, x) \cdots$$
$$= (\underset{y_{head}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \psi(y_{head}, x), \underset{y_{torso}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \psi(y_{torso}, x), \cdots)$$

Problem solved!?



Ensure *head* is on top of *torso* 

$$\psi(y_{head}, y_{torso}) \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

Compute

$$y^* = \underset{y_{head}, y_{torso}, \cdots}{\operatorname{argmax}} \psi(y_{head}, x) \psi(y_{torso}, x) \psi(y_{head}, y_{torso}) \cdots$$

This does not decompose anymore. Easy problem has become difficult!

left image by Ben Sapp

Intro	Overview	Probability Theory
Example:	Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging	

- ▶ given an English sentence, what part-of-speech is each word?
- useful for automatic natural language processing
  - text-to-speech,
  - automatic translation,
  - question answering, etc.

They	refuse	to	permit	us	to	obtain	the	refuse	permit
pronoun	verb	inf-to	verb	pronoun	inf-to	verb	article	noun	noun

- prediction task:  $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$
- $\mathcal{X}$ : sequences of English words,  $(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$
- ▶  $\mathcal{Y}$ : sequences of tags,  $(y_1, \ldots, y_m)$  with  $y_i \in \{\text{noun, verb, participle, article, pronoun, preposition, adverb, conjunction, other} \}$

Intro	Overview	Probability Theory
0000	000000000●00	000000000000000000000000000000000000
Example:	Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging	

They	refuse	to	permit	us	to	obtain	the	refuse	permit
pronoun	verb	inf-to	verb	pronoun	inf-to	verb	article	noun	noun

Simplest idea: classify each word

- learn a mapping  $g : \{words\} \rightarrow \{tags\}$
- problem: words are ambiguous
  - permit can be verb or noun
  - refuse can be verb or noun

per-word prediction cannot avoid mistakes

Structured model: allow for dependencies between tags

- article is typically followed by noun
- inf-to is typically followed by verb

We need to assign tags jointly for the whole sentence, not one word at a time.

# Example: RNA Secondary Structure Prediction

Given an RNA sequence in text form, what's it geometric arrangement in the cell?



#### GAUACCAGCCCUUGGCAGC

Prior knowledge:

- $\blacktriangleright$  two possible binding types:  $G{\leftrightarrow}C$  and  $A{\leftrightarrow}U$
- big loops can form: local information is not sufficient

Structured model: combine local binding energies into globally optimal arrangement

# Refresher: Probabilities

#### Refresher of probabilities

# Most quantities in machine learning are not fully deterministic.

- true randomness of events
  - a photon reaches a camera's CCD chip, is it detected or not? it depends on quantum effects, which -to our knowledge- are stochastic
- incomplete knowledge
  - what will be the next email I receive?
  - who won the football match last night?
- modeling choice
  - "For any bird, the probability that it can fly is high." vs.
  - "All birds can fly, except flightless species, or birds that are still very young, or bird which are injured in a way that prevents them..."

In practice, there is no difference between these!

#### Probability theory allows us to deal with this.

#### **Random Variables**

A random variable is a variable that randomly takes one of its possible values:

- the number of photons reaching a CCD chip
- the text of the next email I will receive
- the position of an atom in a molecule

Some notation: we will write

- ▶ random variables with capital letters, e.g. X
- ▶ the set of possible values it can take with curly letters, e.g. X
- ▶ any individual value it can take with lowercase letters, e.g. x

How likely each value  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  is specified by a *probability distribution*. There are, slightly different, possibilities:

- $\mathcal{X}$  is discrete (typically finite),
- X is continuous.

#### **Discrete Random Variables**

For discrete  $\mathcal{X}$  (e.g.  $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}$ :

- p(X = x) is the probability that X takes the value x ∈ X.
   If it's clear which variable we mean, we'll just write p(x).
- for example, rolling a die, p(X = 3) = p(3) = 1/6
- we write  $x \sim p(x)$  to indicate that the distribution of X is p(x)

For things to make sense, we need

$$0 \le p(x) \le 1$$
 for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  (positivity)  
 $\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) = 1$  (normalization)

#### Example: English words

- ► X<sub>word</sub>: pick a word randomly from an English text. Is it "word"?
- $\mathcal{X}_{word} = \{\texttt{true}, \texttt{false}\}$

$$p(X_{the} = \texttt{true}) = 0.05$$
  $p(X_{the} = \texttt{false}) = 0.95$   
 $p(X_{horse} = \texttt{true}) = 0.004$   $p(X_{horse} = \texttt{false}) = 0.996$ 

Probability Theory

#### Continuous Random Variables

For continuous  $\mathcal{X}$  (*e.g.*  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}$ ):

• probability that X takes a value in the set M is

$$\Pr(X \in A) = \int_M p(x) \mathrm{d}x$$

• we call p(x) the probability density over x

For things to make sense, we need:

$$p(x) \ge 0$$
 for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  (positivity)  
 $\int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) = 1$  (normalization)

Note: for convenience of notation, we use the notation of discrete random variable everywhere.

Probability Theory

#### Joint probabilities

Probabilities can be assigned to more than one random variable at a time:

# joint probability

### Example: English words

Pick three consecutive English words:  $X_{word}$ ,  $Y_{word}$ ,  $Z_{word}$ 

• 
$$p(X_{the} = \texttt{true}, Y_{horse} = \texttt{true}) = 0.00080$$

• 
$$p(X_{horse} = \texttt{true}, Y_{the} = \texttt{true}) = 0.00001$$

•  $p(X_{probabilitistic} = true, Y_{graphical} = true, Y_{model} = true) = 0.000000045$ 



#### Marginalization

We can recover the probabilities of individual variables from the joint probability by summing over all variables we are not interested in.

• 
$$p(X = x) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(X = x, Y = y)$$
  
•  $p(X_2 = z) = \sum_{x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1} \sum_{x_2 \in \mathcal{X}_2} \sum_{x_4 \in \mathcal{X}_4} p(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = z, X_3 = x_3, X_4 = x_4)$ 

marginalization


#### Marginalization

We can recover the probabilities of individual variables from the joint probability by summing over all variables we are not interested in.

• 
$$p(X = x) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} p(X = x, Y = y)$$
  
•  $p(X_2 = z) = \sum_{x_1 \in \mathcal{X}_1} \sum_{x_2 \in \mathcal{X}_2} \sum_{x_4 \in \mathcal{X}_4} p(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = z, X_3 = x_3, X_4 = x_4)$ 

#### marginalization

#### Example: English text

- $p(X_{the} = \texttt{true}, Y_{horse} = \texttt{true}) = 0.0008$
- $p(X_{the} = \texttt{true}, Y_{horse} = \texttt{false}) = 0.0492$
- $p(X_{the} = \texttt{false}, Y_{horse} = \texttt{true}) = 0.0032$
- ▶  $p(X_{the} = \texttt{false}, Y_{horse} = \texttt{false}) = 0.9468$
- $p(X_{the} = true) = 0.0008 + 0.0492 = 0.05$ , etc.

#### Conditional probabilities

One random variable can contain information about another one:

- ► p(X = x | Y = y): conditional probability what is the probability of X = x, if we already know that Y = y ?
- ► p(X = x): marginal probability what is the probability of X = x, without any additional information?
- conditional probabilities can be computed from joint and marginal:

$$p(X = x | Y = y) = \frac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(Y = y)} \quad (\text{not defined if } p(Y = y) = 0)$$

#### Conditional probabilities

One random variable can contain information about another one:

- ► p(X = x | Y = y): conditional probability what is the probability of X = x, if we already know that Y = y ?
- ► p(X = x): marginal probability what is the probability of X = x, without any additional information?
- conditional probabilities can be computed from joint and marginal:

$$p(X = x | Y = y) = rac{p(X = x, Y = y)}{p(Y = y)}$$
 (not defined if  $p(Y = y) = 0$ )

#### Example: English text

• 
$$p(X_{the} = \texttt{true}) = 0.05$$

▶ 
$$p(X_{the} = true | Y_{horse} = true) = \frac{0.0008}{0.004} = 0.20$$

▶ 
$$p(X_{the} = \text{true}|Y_{the} = \text{true}) = \frac{0.0003}{0.05} = 0.006$$

Illustration

Overview 0000000000000

#### 10 $x_b$ $p(x_a|x_b = 0.7)$ $x_{b} = 0.7$ 0.5 5 $p(x_a, x_b)$ $p(x_a)$ 0 0 0.5 0.5 0 0 $x_a$ $x_a$

joint (level sets), marginal, conditional probability

# Bayes rule (Bayes theorem)

# Bayes rule

Most famous formula in probability: 
$$p(A|B) = rac{p(B|A)p(A)}{p(B)}$$

#### Bayes rule (Bayes theorem)

# Bayes rule

Most famous formula in probability: 
$$p(A|B) = rac{p(B|A)p(A)}{p(B)}$$

Formally, nothing spectacular: direct consequence of definition of conditional probability.

$$p(A|B) = \frac{p(A,B)}{p(B)} = \frac{p(B|A)p(A)}{p(B)}$$

#### Bayes rule (Bayes theorem)

# Bayes rule

Most famous formula in probability: 
$$p(A|B) = rac{p(B|A)p(A)}{p(B)}$$

Formally, nothing spectacular: direct consequence of definition of conditional probability.

$$p(A|B) = \frac{p(A,B)}{p(B)} = \frac{p(B|A)p(A)}{p(B)}$$

Nevertheless very useful at least for two situations:

- when A and B have different role, so p(A|B) is intuitive but p(B|A) is not
  - A = age, B = {smoker, nonsmoker}
     p(A|B) is the age distribution amongst smokers and nonsmokers
     p(B|A) is the probability that a person of a certain age smokes
- ▶ the information in *B* help us to update our knowledge about *A*:  $p(A) \mapsto p(A|B)$

## Bayes rule (Bayes theorem)

# Bayes rule

Most famous formula in probability: 
$$p(A|B) = rac{p(B|A)p(A)}{p(B)}$$



Image: By mattbuck (category) - Own work by mattbuck., CC BY-SA 3.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=14658489

## Dependence/Independence

Not every random variable is informative about every other.

• We say X is independent of Y (write:  $X \perp Y$ ) if

$$p(X=x,Y=y)=p(X=x)p(Y=y)$$
 for all  $x\in\mathcal{X}$  and  $y\in\mathcal{Y}$ 

equivalent (if defined):

$$p(X = x | Y = y) = p(X = x), \qquad p(Y = y | X = x) = p(Y = y)$$

#### Dependence/Independence

Not every random variable is informative about every other.

• We say X is independent of Y (write:  $X \perp Y$ ) if

$$p(X=x,Y=y)=p(X=x)p(Y=y)$$
 for all  $x\in\mathcal{X}$  and  $y\in\mathcal{Y}$ 

equivalent (if defined):

$$p(X = x | Y = y) = p(X = x), \qquad p(Y = y | X = x) = p(Y = y)$$

Other random variables can influence the independence:

• X and Y are conditionally independent given Z (write  $X \perp Y | Z$ ) if

$$p(X = x, Y = y | Z = z) = p(X = x | Z = z)p(Y = y | Z = z)$$

equivalent (if defined):

$$p(x|y,z) = p(x|z), \qquad p(y|x,z) = p(y|z)$$

Let X and Y be the outcome of independently rolling two dice and let Z = X + Y be their sum.

- X and Y are independent
- X and Z are not independent, Y and Z are not independent
- conditioned on Z, X and Y are not independent anymore (for fixed Z = z, X and Y can only take certain value combinations)

# Example: toddlers

Let X be the height of a toddler, Y the number of words in its vocabulary and Z its age.

- $\blacktriangleright$  X and Y are not independent: overall, toddlers who are taller know more words
- however, X and Y are conditionally independent given Z: at a fixed age, toddlers' growth and vocabulary develop independently

•  $Y_1, Y_2 =$  your parents' genomes

•  $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4$  = your grantparents' genomes

- $\blacktriangleright$  X = your genome





Probability Theory 

# Discrete Random Fields



Magnetic spin in each atoms of a crystal:  $X_{i,j}$  for  $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$ 

Image: https://www.en.uni-muenchen.de/news/newsarchiv/2013/f-m-81-13.html

Probability Theory

# Continuous Random Fields



Distribution of matter in the universe:  $X_p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ 

Image: By NASA, ESA, E. Julio (JPL/LAM), P. Natarajan (Yale) and J-P. Kneib (LAM). - http://www.spacetelescope.org/images/heic1014a/, CC BY 3.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=11561821

Intro 0000 

#### Expected value

We apply a function to (the values of) one or more random variables:

• 
$$f(x) = x^2$$
 or  $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k) = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_k}{k}$ 

The **expected value** or **expectation** of a function f with respect to a probability distribution is the weighted average of the possible values:

$$\mathbb{E}_{x\sim p(x)}[f(x)] := \sum_{x\in\mathcal{X}} p(x)f(x)$$

In short, we just write  $\mathbb{E}_{x}[f(x)]$  or  $\mathbb{E}[f(x)]$  or  $\mathbb{E}[f]$  or  $\mathbb{E}f$ .

#### Example: rolling dice

Let X be the outcome of rolling a die and let f(x) = x

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[f(x)] = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[x] = \frac{1}{6}1 + \frac{1}{6}2 + \frac{1}{6}3 + \frac{1}{6}4 + \frac{1}{6}5 + \frac{1}{6}6 = 3.5$$

#### Expected value

# Example: rolling dice

 $X_1, X_2$ : the outcome of rolling two dice independently,  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$ 

 $\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim p(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] =$ 

#### Expected value

# Example: rolling dice

 $X_1, X_2$ : the outcome of rolling two dice independently,  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$ 

 $\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim p(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] =$ 

Straight-forward computation: 36 options for  $(x_1, x_2)$ , each has probability  $\frac{1}{36}$ 

# Expected value

# Example: rolling dice

 $X_1, X_2$ : the outcome of rolling two dice independently,  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$ 

 $\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim p(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] =$ 

Straight-forward computation: 36 options for  $(x_1, x_2)$ , each has probability  $\frac{1}{36}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim\rho(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] = \sum_{x_1,x_2} p(x_1,x_2)(x_1+x_2)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{36}(1+1) + \frac{1}{36}(1+2) + \frac{1}{36}(1+3) + \dots$   
+  $\frac{1}{36}(2+1) + \frac{1}{36}(2+2) + \dots + \frac{1}{36}(6+6)$   
=  $\frac{252}{36} = 7$ 

## Expected value

# Example: rolling dice

 $X_1, X_2$ : the outcome of rolling two dice independently,  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$ 

 $\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim p(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] = \mathbf{7}$ 

Straight-forward computation: 36 options for  $(x_1, x_2)$ , each has probability  $\frac{1}{36}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim p(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] = \sum_{x_1,x_2} p(x_1,x_2)(x_1+x_2)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{36}(1+1) + \frac{1}{36}(1+2) + \frac{1}{36}(1+3) + \dots$   
+  $\frac{1}{36}(2+1) + \frac{1}{36}(2+2) + \dots + \frac{1}{36}(6+6)$   
=  $\frac{252}{36} = 7$ 

# Expected value

#### Example: rolling dice

 $X_1, X_2$ : the outcome of rolling two dice independently,  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$ 

 $\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim p(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] =$ 

Sometimes a good strategy: count how often each value occurs and sum over values

$s = (x_1 + x_2)$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
count <i>n</i> s	1	2	3	4	5	6	5	4	3	2	1

# Example: rolling dice

**Expected** value

 $X_1, X_2$ : the outcome of rolling two dice independently,  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$ 

 $\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim p(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] = \mathbf{7}$ 

Sometimes a good strategy: count how often each value occurs and sum over values

$s = (x_1 + x_2)$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
count <i>n</i> s	1	2	3	4	5	6	5	4	3	2	1

$$\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim p(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] = \sum_{x_1,x_2} p(x_1,x_2)(x_1+x_2) = \sum_s \frac{n_s}{n}s$$
$$= \frac{1}{36}2 + \frac{2}{36}3 + \frac{3}{36}4 + \frac{4}{36}5 + \dots + \frac{2}{36}11 + \frac{1}{36}12 = \frac{252}{36} = 7$$

#### Properties of expected values

#### Example: rolling dice

 $X_1, X_2$ : the outcome of rolling two dice independently,  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$ 

$$\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim p(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] =$$

#### Properties of expected values

# Example: rolling dice

 $X_1, X_2$ : the outcome of rolling two dice independently,  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$ 

$$\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim p(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] =$$

The expected value has a useful property: it is *linear* in its argument.

$$\blacktriangleright \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[f(x) + g(x)] = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[f(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[g(x)]$$

$$\blacktriangleright \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[\lambda f(x)] = \lambda \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[f(x)]$$

If a random variables does not show up in a function, we can ignore the expectation operation with respect to it

$$\blacktriangleright \mathbb{E}_{(x,y)\sim p(x,y)}[f(x)] = \mathbb{E}_{x\sim p(x)}[f(x)]$$

#### Properties of expected values

#### Example: rolling dice

 $X_1, X_2$ : the outcome of rolling two dice independently,  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$ 

$$\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim\rho(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] = \mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim\rho(x_1,x_2)}[x_1+x_2]$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim\rho(x_1,x_2)}[x_1] + \mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim\rho(x_1,x_2)}[x_2]$   
=  $\mathbb{E}_{x_1\sim\rho(x_1)}[x_1] + \mathbb{E}_{x_2\sim\rho(x_2)}[x_2] = 3.5 + 3.5 = \mathbf{7}$ 

The expected value has a useful property: it is *linear* in its argument.

$$\blacktriangleright \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[f(x) + g(x)] = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[f(x)] + \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[g(x)]$$

$$\blacktriangleright \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[\lambda f(x)] = \lambda \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p(x)}[f(x)]$$

If a random variables does not show up in a function, we can ignore the expectation operation with respect to it

$$\blacktriangleright \mathbb{E}_{(x,y)\sim p(x,y)}[f(x)] = \mathbb{E}_{x\sim p(x)}[f(x)]$$

- ► we roll one die
- $X_1$ : number facing up,  $X_2$ : number facing down
- $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$

 $\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim p(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] =$ 

- ► we roll one die
- $X_1$ : number facing up,  $X_2$ : number facing down
- $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$

 $\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim p(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] = \mathbf{7}$ 

Answer 1: explicit calculation with dependent  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ 

 $p(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6} & \text{for combinations (1, 6), (2, 5), (3, 4), (4, 3), (5, 2), (6, 1)} \\ 0 & \text{for all other combinations.} \end{cases}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim p(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] = \sum_{(x_1,x_2)} p(x_1,x_2)(x_1+x_2)$$
  
= 0(1+1) + 0(1+2) + \dots + \frac{1}{6}(1+6) + 0(2+1) + \dots = 6 \dot \frac{7}{6} = 7

- ► we roll one die
- $X_1$ : number facing up,  $X_2$ : number facing down
- $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$

 $\mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim p(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] = \mathbf{7}$ 

Answer 2: use properties of expectation as earlier

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim\rho(x_1,x_2)}[f(x_1,x_2)] &= \mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim\rho(x_1,x_2)}[x_1+x_2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim\rho(x_1,x_2)}[x_1] + \mathbb{E}_{(x_1,x_2)\sim\rho(x_1,x_2)}[x_2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x_1\sim\rho(x_1)}[x_1] + \mathbb{E}_{x_2\sim\rho(x_2)}[x_2] = 3.5 + 3.5 = 7 \end{split}$$

The rules of probability take care of dependence, etc.

Some expected values show up so often that they have special names.

# Variance

The variance of a random variable X is the expected squared deviation from its mean

$$\mathsf{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}_x[(x - \mathbb{E}_x[x])^2]$$

also

$$\operatorname{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}_{x}[x^{2}] - (\mathbb{E}_{x}[x])^{2}$$
 (exercise)

The variance

- measures how much the random variable *fluctuates* around its mean
- ▶ is invariant under addition

$$\operatorname{Var}(X + a) = \operatorname{Var}(X)$$
 for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

scales with the square of multiplicative factors

$${\sf Var}(\lambda X)=\lambda^2\,{\sf Var}(X)\qquad {\sf for}\,\,\lambda\in\mathbb{R}.$$

#### More intuitive:

# Standard deviation

The standard deviation of a random variable is the square root of the its variance.

 $\operatorname{Std}(X) = \sqrt{\operatorname{Var}(X)}$ 

# The standard deviation

▶ is invariant under addition

$$\operatorname{Std}(X + a) = \operatorname{Std}(X)$$
 for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

scales with the absolute value of multiplicative factors

 $\operatorname{\mathsf{Std}}(\lambda X) = |\lambda| \operatorname{\mathsf{Std}}(X) \quad \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}.$ 

For two random variables at a time, we can test if their fluctuations around the mean are consistent or not

#### Covariance

The **covariance** of two random variables X and Y is the expected value of the product of their deviations from their means

$$\mathsf{Cov}(X,Y) = \mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim p(x,y)}[(x - \mathbb{E}_x[x])(y - \mathbb{E}_y[y])]$$

The covariance

- of a random variable with itself it its variance, Cov(X, X) = Var(X)
- ► is invariant under addition: Cov(X + a, Y) = Cov(X, Y) = Cov(X, Y + a) for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- ► scales linearly under multiplications:  $Cov(\lambda X, Y) = \lambda Cov(X, Y) = Cov(X, \lambda Y)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- is 0, if X and Y are independent, but can be 0 even if for dependent X and Y (exercise)

If we do not care about the scales of X and Y, we can normalize by their standard deviations:

# Correlation

The **correlation coefficient** of two random variables X and Y is their covariance divided by their standard deviations

$$\operatorname{Corr}(X,Y) = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X,Y)}{\operatorname{Std}(X)\operatorname{Std}(Y)} = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{(x,y)\sim p(x,y)}[(x-\mathbb{E}_x[x])(y-\mathbb{E}_y[y])}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_x(x-\mathbb{E}_x[x])^2}\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_y(y-\mathbb{E}_y[y])^2}}$$

The correlation

- $\blacktriangleright$  always has values in the interval [-1,1]
- ► is invariant under addition: Cov(X + a, Y) = Cov(X, Y) = Cov(X, Y + a) for  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- ► is invariant under multiplication with positive constants  $Corr(\lambda X, Y) = Corr(X, Y) = Corr(X, \lambda Y)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ .
- inverts its sign under multiplication with negative constants  $\operatorname{Corr}(-\lambda X, Y) = -\operatorname{Corr}(X, Y) = \operatorname{Corr}(X, -\lambda Y)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ .
- ▶ is 0, if X and Y are independent, but can be 0 even if for dependent X and Y (exercise)



For example, t = 60s, what's the probability distribution?



- $X_t \in \{-32768, -32767, \dots, 32767\}$
- $\mathbb{E}_{x}[X_{t}] \approx 21$
- ▶  $\Pr(X_t = 21) \approx 0.00045$
- $Var(X_t) \approx 12779141$
- $\operatorname{Std}(X_t) \approx 3575$



#### Example: Audio Signals



 $X_t, Y_t$ : accustic pressures at any time t for two different randomly chosen songs

#### Joint probability distribution for t = 60s:



- $X_t, Y_t \in \{-32768, -32767, \dots, 32767\}$
- $\mathbb{E}_{x}[X_{t}] = \mathbb{E}_{y}[Y_{t}] \approx 21$
- $Cov(X_t, Y_t) = 0$
- $\operatorname{Corr}(X_t, Y_t) = 0$



#### Joint probability distribution for s = 60s, t = 61s:



- $X_s, X_t \in \{-32768, -32767, \dots, 32767\}$
- ►  $\mathbb{E}_{x}[X_{s}] \approx 21$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_{y}[X_{t}] \approx -39$
- $Cov(X_s, X_t) \approx 0$
- $\operatorname{Corr}(X_s, X_t) \approx 0$



#### **Example:** Audio Signals



# Joint probability distribution for s = 60s, $t = (60 + \frac{1}{65536})s$ (one sampling step):



- $X_s, X_t \in \{-32768, -32767, \dots, 32767\}$
- $\mathbb{E}_{x}[X_{s}] \approx 21$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_{y}[X_{t}] \approx 22$
- $Cov(X_s, X_t) \approx 12613175$
- $\operatorname{Corr}(X_s, X_t) \approx 0.988$

# Random sample (in statistics)

A set  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  is a random sample for a random variable X, if each  $x_i$  is a realization of X.

Equivalently, but easier to treat formally: we create *n* random variables,  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ , where each  $X_i$  is distributed identically to X, and we obtain one realization:  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ .

Note: in machine learning, we also call each individual realization a sample, and the set of multiple samples a sample set.

#### I.i.d. sample

We call the sample set independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.), if the  $X_i$  are independent of each other, *i.e.*  $p(X_1, ..., X_n) = \prod_i p(X_i)$ .

In practice, we use samples to estimate properties of the underlying probability distribution. This is easiest for i.i.d. sample sets, but we'll see other examples as well.
## Example

- X = human genome
- number of samples collected:



## Example

- ► X = human genome
- number of samples collected:



Overview 00000000000000 

## Example: Matter Distribution in the Universe

- random field:  $X_p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$
- ▶ our universe is **one realization** → how to estimate anything?



Image: By NASA, ESA, E. Jullo (JPL/LAM), P. Natarajan (Yale) and J-P. Kneib (LAM). - http://www.spacetelescope.org/images/heic1014a/, CC BY 3.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=11561821

Probability Theory

## Example: Matter Distribution in the Universe

- random field:  $X_p$  for  $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$
- ▶ our universe is **one realization** → how to estimate anything?
- ► assume homogeneity (=translation invariance): for any  $p_1, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$p(X_{p_1}, X_{p_2}, \ldots, X_{p_k}) = p(X_{p_1+t}, X_{p_2+t}, \ldots, X_{p_k+t})$$

 estimate quantities (*e.g.* average matter density or correlation functions) by averaging over multiple locations instead of multiple universes



Image: By NASA, ESA, E. Jullo (JPL/LAM), P. Natarajan (Yale) and J-P. Kneib (LAM). - http://www.spacetelescope.org/images/heic1014a/, CC BY 3.0, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=11561821